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Introduction

The biggest value of implementing business rules management functionality is realized in the maintenance phase of the application.

In today’s competitive world, organizations realize they need to build business applications that are able to evolve as quickly as their business requirements change. It is also known that business rules driving operational decisions change really fast and independently of application software requirements.

Business rules management functionality components are designed to help organizations to build agile applications that can evolve at the pace of fast changing business requirements, and at the same time distribute responsibilities accordingly. Business users and analysts take direct control on business rules, while IT units control software requirements.

Back to top

About this article

This article aims to provide five reasons why business rules management can significantly increase abilities to build applications that are easy to manage, yet at very competitive maintenance costs.

The key attributes of an agile system are:

1. Modularity
2. Consistency
3. Simplicity and conciseness
4. Self-descriptiveness and understandability
5. Independent testability

This article expands on each of these attributes and provides additional reasoning on how business rules management delivers its added value.
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1 – Modularity

By leveraging business rules management approach organizations are enabled to build modular applications. Organizations would model operational business decisions via business rules management functionality and automate these decisions with a rule engine. All rules that drive decisions would be captured and modeled into a business rules management component.

By following this approach organizations will realize the following benefits:

1. Any ‘decision service’ is an independent unit that can be reused by different applications. An example of a ‘decision service’ is a “loan pricing service”.
2. Business rules are externalized and managed separately therefore same rules can be used across different decision services.
3. Following this example, application architects take ownership of the decision service and integration functions, while Business Analysts/Users and Rule Development teams are responsible about correct definitions of all business rules in place.
4. Rules, hence the whole business logic are clearly separated, development and integration responsibilities are very clearly defined, which leads to modular designs.

2 – Consistency

One of the major sources of error when business rules are concerned is that it is very difficult to ensure their correct and consistent application across different applications/systems.

Consistent approach towards all business processes and consistent application of business rules is crucial for every organization. Via introducing business rules management functionality organizations enable all their different units to work with a single version of the truth, thus realize a standardized deployment of rules and process optimization enterprise-wide.

Here is a summary of reasons how consistency is delivered via business rules management functionality:

1. All logically related rules will be organized and maintained by business functions rather than by a software module. This lessens duplication chances and improves consistency.
2. Same rules called from any number of places behave in exactly the same way.
3. Because rule driven decisions are independently testable, enforcing and achieving consistency across the enterprise is a lot less expensive.

3 – Simplicity and conciseness

Business rules existing at one single organization may be numerous and very complex. In the majority of cases IT units are responsible for business rules implementations, which may lead to more difficulties, due to the fact that conventional programming models do not offer sufficiently powerful mechanisms to model business rules in their complete variety.

Using conventional programming models potentially results in very complex and hard to maintain business rules implementations. Business rules management components are designed to help organizations to overcome these challenges by enabling rules modeling in simple, concise and business user readable forms.

Here is a quick reasoning of why business rules management functionality adds value for building simple and user friendly rule representations:

1. Business rules management enables organizations to capture and represent business rules in a form that is close to how Business Analysts/Users can understand them. Per example:
   - decision tables (tabular rules similar to MS Excel spreadsheets)
   - sets of independent ‘If – Then’ rule statements
2. Business rules management eliminates the need to define complex abstractions to model business rules in code by providing out of the box abstractions for complex business rules.
4 - **Self-descriptiveness and understandability**

Many decisions are based on complex data, for example taking an ‘underwriting decision’ for an insurance product. Complexity is driven by the fact that underlying business rules are numerous and interconnected/dependant, also all these rules are business related, based on organizational procedures, performance requirements or strategies, and therefore necessarily need to involve Business Analysts/Users into definition and validation phases.

In cases when business rules are not available in a simple, self-descriptive and understandable form convenient for offering to business users to define, manage and modify, here are some details on how business rules management functionality can be employed to alleviate the pressure and help IT and Business units overcome time constraints:

1. A ‘pricing ruleset’ per example will describe in great detail precisely which are the steps to follow when a pricing decision needs to be made. This high level of descriptiveness is not really achievable via code.
2. Pricing tables locked up in database tables are not able to communicate the complete picture in detail as effectively as a well designed decision table.
3. Documenting complex system behavior as it applies to business decisions becomes very simple when business rules technologies are used.

Providing self-descriptive ways to define, manage and change business rules naturally leads to decreased maintenance and training costs, as well as alleviates time pressures on the users who need to understand and apply these business rules.

5 - **Independently testable**

Business rules can change at a different pace compared to the rest of the application. For example, custom regulations may change several times per year.

It is important therefore, to make sure that changes to business rules are independently testable from the application. If rules are locked across application code, that makes the task very challenging and time consuming.

Via business rules management organizations are enabled to build applications where business rules, by being externalized by virtue are independently testable. To summarize:

1. One of the main drawbacks of conventional programming techniques when it comes to enabling decision automation is testing.
2. When business rules change, as they will, impact testing will lead to ever higher costs and turnaround times.
3. Via business rules management different teams can develop and test decision services in parallel and thus saving considerable time and resources.
Summary

Business rules management drives agile applications building and enables effective change management in every organization. Business rules management functionality allow delivery of modular applications where business rules modifications are processed independently from the rest of the system, and at the same time empower business users to take real ownership on defining, managing and modifying rules. IT professionals take ownership on software requirements solely and at the other hand business users become accountable for correct definitions of executable business rules, participating actively in and controlling change management efforts.
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